Southwest Area Transportation Study Final Report



in support of the

MAG Regional Transportation Plan

September 2003

Southwest Area Transportation Study Final Report

PREPARED FOR:

Maricopa Association of Governments IN SUPPORT OF THE MAG Regional Transportation Plan

PREPARED BY:



960 W. Elliot Road, Suite 110 Tempe, AZ 85284

September 2003



Table of Contents

Chapter	1 Existing Plans	1-1
1.1	Data Collection Efforts	1-1
1.2	Information Obtained	1-2
1.3	Database Organization	1-2
1.4	Existing and Previous Plans, Programs, Reports, and Studies	1-3
1.4		
1.4	4.2 City of Goodyear	
1.4	4.3 Maricopa County Department of Transportation	
	4.4 Flood Control District of Maricopa County (FCDMC)	
	4.5 Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG)	
	4.6 City of Litchfield Park	
	4.7 City of Tolleson	
	4.8 City of Avondale	
	4.9 Town of Buckeye	
	4.10 Town of Gila Bend	
	4.11 RPTA - Valley Metro	
	4.12 Arizona Department of Transportation	
1.5	Key Transportation Issues	1-22
1.5	5.1 Regional Roadway Network	1-23
1.5	5.2 Arterial Grid System	1-23
1.5	5.3 Interchanges and Bridges	
1.5	5.4 Public Transportation	
Chapter	2 Socioeconomic Characteristics and Forecasts	2-1
2.1	Methodology for Determining Future Year Forecasts	2-1
2.2	Year 2000 Regional Trip Generation File	2-2
2.3	Alternative Scenarios	2-2
2.4	Summary of General Plans and Land Use Assumptions	2-8
2.5	Avondale General Plan	2-8
2.5		
	5.2 Public Facilities	
	5.3 Land Use Assumptions and Observations	



2.6 To	olleson General Plan	2-10
2.6.1	Land Use	2-10
2.6.2	Community Facilities	2-11
2.6.3	Land Use Assumptions and Observations	2-11
2.7 To	own of Buckeye General Development Plan	2-11
2.7.1	Utilities	
2.7.2	Growth Areas	2-12
2.7.3	Land Use Assumptions and Observations	2-12
2.8 G	ila Bend Master Plan Update	2-13
2.8.1	Key Land Use Issues	2-13
2.8.2	Land Use Plan	2-13
2.8.3	Development Assets	2-14
2.8.4	Facilities and Services	
2.8.5	Land Use Assumptions and Observations	
2.9 G	oodyear General Plan	2-14
2.9.1	Economic Analysis	2-15
2.9.2	Summary of Development Objectives:	2-16
2.9.3	Land Use	
2.9.4	Land Use Assumptions and Observations:	
2.10 Pł	noenix	2-17
2.10.1	Land Use Assumptions and Observations	2-17
2.11 Li	tchfield Park	2-18
2.11.1	Land Use	2-18
2.11.2	Growth Areas	2-18
2.11.3	Land Use Assumptions	2-18
2.12 G	lendale	2-19
2.12.1	Land Use Assumptions and Observations	2-19
2.13 M	aricopa County	2-19
2.13.1	Land Use	
2.13.2	Land Use Assumptions and Observations	2-20
2.14 E	nvironmental Justice and Title VI	2-21
2.14.1	Minority Populations	2-21
2.14.2	Age 60 Years and Over	2-23
2.14.3	Poverty	2-23
2.14.4	Household Income	2-26
2.14.5	Educational Attainment	2-26
2.14.6	Female Head of Household	2-29
2.14.7	Work and/or Mobility Disability	2-29



2.15 Transit-Dependent Populations	2-31
2.15.1 Households with No Vehicle Available	2-31
2.15.2 Children Enrolled in School	
2.15.3 Persons with a Mobility Limitation	
2.15.4 Young Female Population	
2.16 Conclusion	2 37
Chapter 3 Current and Future Transportation Facilities and Cond	itions3-1
3.1 Existing and Future Facilities and Use	
3.1.1 Roadways	3-4
3.1.2 Public Transit	3-46
3.1.3 Non-Motorized Transportation System	3-53
3.2 Summary and Conclusions	3-63
3.2.1 Roads and Highways	
3.2.2 Transit	
3.2.3 Non-Motorized Facilities	
Chapter 4 Major Transportation Issues in the SWATS Area	4-1
4.1 Future Land Use and Travel Demand Patterns	4-2
4.1.1 Summary of Land Development Forecasts	
4.1.2 Forecast Travel Demand Patterns	
4.2 Issues Identified through Agency Participation	4-3
4.2.1 Participating Agencies	
4.2.2 Issues Identified	
4.3 Issues Identified through Public Participation	4-5
4.3.1 Issues Identified	
4.4 Issues Identified through Technical Analysis	4-6
4.4.1 Roads and Highways	
4.4.2 Transit	
4.4.3 Non-Motorized Facilities	
4.5 Summary of Issues Identified	4.0
4.5.1 Short-Term Transportation Issues	
1	
1	
4.5.3 Long-Term Transportation Issues	4-10
4.6 Evaluation Criteria for Alternatives	4-11
17 Summary and Conclusions	A 11



Chapter !	Evaluation of Transportation Options in the SWATS Area	5-1
5.1	Introduction	5-1
5.2	Description of Highway Options	5-2
5.2		5-2
5.2		
5.2		
5.3	Evaluation Method	5-12
5.4	General Characteristics of Options	5-13
5.4	.1 Centerline Miles and Functional Class	5-13
5.4	2 Lane Miles	5-18
5.4	.3 Capacity Miles	5-24
5.5	Operating Characteristics of Options	
5.5		
5.5		
5.5	0	
5.5	.4 Safety	5-84
5.6	System Summary and Conclusion	5-86
5.7	New Arterials	5-88
5.8	New Arterial River Crossings	5-90
5.9	New Freeways and Freeway Widenings	5-93
5.9	.1 South Mountain Freeway	5-97
5.9	.2 SR-85	5-97
5.9		
5.9	.4 Loop 101 Extension	5-98
5.9	.5 Loop 303 Extension	5-98
5.10	New Expressways	
5.1		
5.1		
5.1		
5.1		
5.1	0.5 Riggs, Komatke, and Maricopa Roads	5-102
5.11	Transit	
5.1		
5.1		
5.1	1.3 Transit Capital Costs	5-108
5 12	Non-Motorized	5-109



Chapter 6	Ultimate Concepts for Transportation Facilities	6-1
6.1	Introduction	6-1
6.2	Highway Facilities	6-2
6.2.	1 Arterials	6-2
6.2.	2 Major Arterial River Crossings	6-4
6.2.	3 Freeways, Expressways, Parkways and ARCs	6-8
6.2.		
6.2	5 I-10 Reliever	6-13
6.2	6 I-17	6-14
6.2	7 Loop 101 (Agua Fria)	6-14
6.2	8 Loop 101 Extension (Agua Fria)	6-14
6.2.	9 Loop 202 (South Mountain Freeway)	6-14
6.2.	10 Loop 303 Extension (Estrella)	6-15
6.2.	11 SR-85	6-16
6.2.	12 Sun Valley Parkway	6-16
6.2.	13 CANAMEX Corridor	6-17
6.2.	14 Rio Salado Parkway	6-17
6.2	15 Riggs, Komatke, and Maricopa Parkway	6-18
6.3	Transit	6-19
6.3	1 Regional Fixed Route Bus Transit	6-19
6.3	2 High Capacity Transit	6-19
6.3	3 Other Facilities	6-20
6.4	Non-Motorized Facilities	6-23
6.4	1 Non-Motorized Facilities Outside Highway Rights-of-Way	6-23
6.5	Summary of Costs	6-27
6.6	Policies	
6.6.	1 Variable Width Roadways ("Scalloped Streets")	6-28
6.6	2 Arterial Grid Continuity	6-28
6.6		
6.6	4 Avoid Creation of T-Intersections	6-29
6.6	5 Safety and ITS	6-29

Appendix I: Data Collection Letters and Forms

Appendix II: Census Data

Appendix III: SWATS Survey

Appendix IV: SWATS Public Information Flyer

Appendix V: Data Tables for Bar Charts in Chapter 5



List of Figures

Figure 1-1	Locations of Studies, Reports, and Projects
Figure 2-1	Total Population: Alternative Scenarios
Figure 2-2	Total Employment: Alternative Scenarios
Figure 2-3	Total Population per Square Mile
Figure 2-4	Total Employment per Square Mile
Figure 2-5	Study Area Census Tracts
Figure 2-6	Minority Population, 2000
Figure 2-7	Population Age 60 Years and Older, 2000
Figure 2-8	Poverty, 1990
Figure 2-9	Household Income Less than \$15,000, 1995
Figure 2-10	Female Heads of Household, 2000
Figure 2-11	Disability (Work/Self Care/Mobility), 1990
Figure 2-12	Occupied Housing Units without Vehicles, 1990
Figure 2-13	School Enrollment, 1990
Figure 2-14	Mobility Disability, 1990
Figure 2-15	Females Aged 15-24, 2000
Figure 3-1	Study Area Map
Figure 3-2	Roadway Base Map
Figure 3-3	MAG Model Roadway Network – 2002 Base Network
Figure 3-4	MAG Model Roadway Network – LRTP Based Reference Network
Figure 3-5	MAG Model Roadway Links - Additions and Deletions from 2002 Base Network to
	LRTP Based Reference Network
Figure 3-6	Functional Class of Roadways – 2002 Base Network
Figure 3-7	Functional Class of Roadways – LRTP Based Reference Network
Figure 3-8	Functional Class of Roadways - Upgrades and Downgrades from 2002 Base Network
	to LRTP Based Reference Network
Figure 3-9	Number of Roadway Lanes – 2002 Base Network
Figure 3-10	Number of Roadway Lanes – LRTP Based Reference Network
Figure 3-11	Number of Roadway Lanes – Widenings from 2002 Base Network to LRTP Based
	Reference Network
Figure 3-12	MAG ITS Strategic Plan Update: ITS Telecommunications Plan
Figure 3-13	Recent Traffic Counts
Figure 3-14	Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Current Base 2002
Figure 3-15	Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: LRTP 2020
Figure 3-16	Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: LRTP 2030
Figure 3-17	Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Current Base 2002
Figure 3-18	Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: LRTP 2020
Figure 3-19	Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: LRTP 2030
Figure 3-20	Daily Truck Traffic Volumes: Current Base 2002
Figure 3-21	Daily Truck Traffic Volumes: LRTP 2020
Figure 3-22	Daily Truck Traffic Volumes: LRTP 2030
Figure 3-23	Roadway Level-of-Service: Current Base 2002
Figure 3-24	Roadway Level-of-Service: LRTP 2020



- Figure 3-25 Roadway Level-of-Service: LRTP 2030
- Figure 3-26 Intersection Level-of-Service: Current Base 2002
- Figure 3-27 Intersection Level-of-Service: LRTP 2020
- Figure 3-28 Intersection Level-of-Service: LRTP 2030
- Figure 3-29 Existing Transit Service Routes and Service Areas
- Figure 3-30 Identified High Capacity Corridors
- Figure 3-31 2030 Local Transit
- Figure 3-32 Current Non-Motorized Facilities
- Figure 3-33 Current Non-Motorized Facility Gaps and Barriers
- Figure 5-1 Current Base Network
- Figure 5-2 Future Base Network
- Figure 5-3 New Facilities in the Future Base Network
- Figure 5-4 New and Widened Facilities in the Future Base Network
- Figure 5-5 Enhanced Network
- Figure 5-6 New Facilities in the Enhanced Network
- Figure 5-7 New and Widened Facilities in the Enhanced Network
- Figure 5-8 Major New Highway Corridors in Option A and Option C Networks
- Figure 5-9 Centerline Miles
- Figure 5-10 Current Base Highway Network Functional Classes
- Figure 5-11 Future Base Highway Network Functional Classes
- Figure 5-12 Enhanced Highway Network Functional Classes
- Figure 5-13 Option A and Option C Highway Network Functional Classes
- Figure 5-14 Lane Miles
- Figure 5-15 Number of Lanes in Current Base Network
- Figure 5-16 Number of Lanes in Future Base Network
- Figure 5-17 Number of Lanes in Enhanced Network
- Figure 5-18 Number of Lanes in Option A Network
- Figure 5-19 Number of Lanes in Option C Network
- Figure 5-20 Capacity Miles
- Figure 5-21 Weekday Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2020
- Figure 5-22 Weekday Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2030
- Figure 5-23 Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2020
- Figure 5-24 Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2030
- Figure 5-25 Weekday Vehicle Miles of Truck Travel in Year 2020
- Figure 5-26 Weekday Vehicle Miles of Truck Travel in Year 2030
- Figure 5-27 Daily Truck Volumes: Current Base Network 2002
- Figure 5-28 Daily Truck Volumes: Future Base Network 2030
- Figure 5-29 Daily Truck Volumes: Enhanced Network 2030
- Figure 5-30 Daily Truck Volumes: Option A Network 2030
- Figure 5-31 Daily Truck Volumes: Option C Network 2030
- Figure 5-32 Daily Truck Volumes: Future Base Network 2020
- Figure 5-33 Daily Truck Volumes: Enhanced Network 2020
- Figure 5-34 Daily Truck Volumes: Option A Network 2020
- Figure 5-35 Daily Truck Volumes: Option C Network 2020
- Figure 5-36 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Current Base 2002
- Figure 5-37 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Current Base 2002



- Figure 5-38 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Future Base 2030
- Figure 5-39 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Future Base 2030
- Figure 5-40 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Enhanced 2030
- Figure 5-41 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Enhanced 2030
- Figure 5-42 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option A 2030
- Figure 5-43 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option A 2030
- Figure 5-44 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option C 2030
- Figure 5-45 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option C 2030
- Figure 5-46 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Future Base 2020
- Figure 5-47 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Future Base 2020
- Figure 5-48 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Enhanced 2020
- Figure 5-49 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Enhanced 2020
- Figure 5-50 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option A 2020
- Figure 5-51 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option A 2020
- Figure 5-52 Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option C 2020
- Figure 5-53 Off-Freeway Daily Traffic Volumes: Option C 2020
- Figure 5-54 Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2020
- Figure 5-55 Percent of Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2020
- Figure 5-56 Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2030
- Figure 5-57 Percent of Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2030
- Figure 5-58 Directional Miles of Highway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour Year 2020
- Figure 5-59 Percent of Directional Miles of Highway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour Year 2020
- Figure 5-60 Directional Miles of Roadway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour Year 2030
- Figure 5-61 Percent of Directional Miles of Roadway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour Year 2030
- Figure 5-62 Roadway Level-of-Service: Current Base 2002
- Figure 5-63 Roadway Level-of-Service: Future Base 2030
- Figure 5-64 Roadway Level-of-Service: Enhanced 2030
- Figure 5-65 Roadway Level-of-Service: Option A 2030
- Figure 5-66 Roadway Level-of-Service: Option C 2030
- Figure 5-67 Roadway Level-of-Service: Future Base 2020
- Figure 5-68 Roadway Level-of-Service: Enhanced 2020
- Figure 5-69 Roadway Level-of-Service: Option A 2020
- Figure 5-70 Roadway Level-of-Service: Option C 2020
- Figure 5-71 Intersections Operating at Level-of-Service E and F in the Peak Hour in Year 2020
- Figure 5-72 Percent of Intersections Operating at Level-of-Service E and F in the Peak Hour in Year 2020
- Figure 5-73 Intersections Operating at Level-of-Service E and F in the Peak Hour in Year 2030
- Figure 5-74 Percent of Intersections Operating at Level-of-Service E and F in the Peak Hour in Year 2030
- Figure 5-75 Intersection Level-of-Service: Current Base 2002
- Figure 5-76 Intersection Level-of-Service: Future Base 2030
- Figure 5-77 Intersection Level-of-Service: Enhanced 2030
- Figure 5-78 Intersection Level-of-Service: Option A 2030
- Figure 5-79 Intersection Level-of-Service: Option C 2030
- Figure 5-80 Intersection Level-of-Service: Future Base 2020
- Figure 5-81 Intersection Level-of-Service: Enhanced 2020



Figure 5-82	Intersection Level-of-Service: Option A 2020
Figure 5-83	Intersection Level-of-Service: Option C 2020
Figure 5-84	Number of Motor Vehicle Accidents Forecast for 2020
Figure 5-85	Number of Motor Vehicle Accidents Forecast for 2030
Figure 5-86	Potential New Freeways and Expressways
Figure 5-87	Draft Recommended High Capacity Transit Network
Figure 5-88	2030 Local Transit
Figure 5-89	Proposed 2030 Regional Transit Routes
Figure 5-90	Existing Off-Road Non-Motorized Facilities
Figure 5-91	Bikeways and Other Non-Motorized Facilities
Figure 5-92	Regional Off-Street System Plan
Figure 6-1	Ultimate Concept for Lanes on the Arterial Network
Figure 6-2	Recommended Bridge Actions at Major River Arterial Crossings
Figure 6-3	Ultimate Concepts for Major Highway Infrastructure Based on 2030 Demand
	Estimates
Figure 6-4	2030 Local Transit
Figure 6-5	Recommended High Capacity Transit
Figure 6-6	Existing Off-Road Non-Motorized Facilities
Figure 6-7	Ultimate Trunk System of Paved Off-Road Non-Motorized Facilities



List of Tables

Table 1-1	Summary of Data Collection
Table 2-1	Total Population, Alternative Scenarios
Table 2-1	Total Population, Alternative Scenarios
Table 2-3	Race, Hispanic & Minority Population, 2000
Table 2-4	Age 60 Years and Over, 2000
Table 2-5	Persons Living Below Poverty Level, 1990
Table 2-6	Number of Households with Income Less than \$15,000 in 1995
Table 2-7	Persons 25 Years and Older Who Received A High School Diploma Or Less, 1990
Table 2-8	Female Head of Households, 2000
Table 2-9	Population 16 Years of Age and Older With A Work, Mobility or Self Care Disability, 1990
Table 2-10	Occupied Housing Units with no Vehicle Available, 1990
Table 2-11	Person enrolled in Elementary or High School, 1990
Table 2-12	Young Female Population, 15-24 years of age, 2000
Table 3-1	Current 2002 Base Network Centerline Roadway Miles by Functional Class by Area Type
Table 3-2	LRTP Based Reference Network Centerline Roadway Miles by Functional Class by Area Type
Table 3-3	Current 2002 Base Network Roadway Lane Miles by Functional Class by Area Type
Table 3-3	LRTP Based Reference Network Roadway Lane Miles by Functional Class by Area
	Type
Table 3-4	Capacity Miles by Functional Class
Table 3-5	Current 2002 Base Network Average Vehicle Occupancy Rate by Duration by Trip Type
Table 3-6	Current 2002 Base Network and LRTP Based Reference Network: Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel by Facility Type in 2002, 2020, and 2030
Table 3-7	Current 2002 Base Network and LRTP Based Reference Network: Daily Truck Miles of Travel by Facility Type in 2002, 2020, and 2030
Table 3-8	Current 2002 Base Network and LRTP Based Reference Network: Peak Hour
	Vehicle Miles of Travel by Facility Type in 2002, 2020, and 2030
Table 3-9	Roadway Miles and Intersections Operating at LOS E and F: 2002, 2020, and 2030
Table 3-10	Average Annual Motor Vehicle Accidents by Municipality 1999-2001
Table 3-11	Motor Vehicle Accident: Estimated for 2002 and Forecast for 2020 and 2030
Table 3-12	RPTA Transit Routes
Table 5-1	Network Performance Comparisons
Table 5-2	Centerline Miles of New and Widened Arterials
Table 5-3	Arterial Costs in Millions of 2003 Dollars
Table 5-4	Estimated New Arterial River Bridge Costs in 2003 Constant Dollars
Table 5-5	Peak Hour Volume-to-Capacity Ratios on Major New Arterial River Crossings in
	2020 and 2030
Table 5-6	Centerline Miles of New and Widened Freeways
Table 5-7	Freeway Costs in Millions of 2003 Constant Dollars



Table 5-8	Estimated New Freeway and Expressway River Bridge Costs in 2003 Constant Dollars
Table 5-9	Centerline Miles of New and Widened Expressways
Table 5-10	Expressway Costs in Millions of 2003 Constant Dollars
Table 5-11	Vehicle Revenue Miles of Transit Service Needed in 2030
Table 5-12	Transit Capital Costs
Table 5A-1*	Centerline Miles
Table 5A-2*	Lane Miles
Table 5A-3*	Capacity Miles
Table 5A-4*	Weekday Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2020
Table 5A-5*	Weekday Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2030
Table 5A-6*	Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2020
Table 5A-7*	Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel in Year 2030
Table 5A-8*	Weekday Vehicle Miles of Truck Travel in Year 2020
Table 5A-9*	Weekday Vehicle Miles of Truck Travel in Year 2030
Table 5A-10*	Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2020
Table 5A-11*	Percent of Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2020
Table 5A-12*	Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2030
Table 5A-13*	Percent of Peak Hour Vehicle Miles of Travel at LOS E or F in Year 2030
Table 5A-14*	Directional Miles of Highway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour - Year 2020
Table 5A-15*	Percent of Directional Miles of Highway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour - Year 2020
Table 5A-16*	Directional Miles of Roadway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour - Year 2030
Table 5A-17*	Percent of Directional Miles of Roadway at LOS E or F in the Peak Hour - Year 2030
Table 5A-18*	Intersections Operating at Level-of-Service E and F in the Peak Hour in Year 2020
Table 5A-19*	· •
Table 5A-20*	Intersections Operating at Level-of-Service E and F in the Peak Hour in Year 2030
Table 5A-21*	Percent of Intersections Operating at Level-of-Service E and F in the Peak Hour in
	Year 2030
Table 5A-22*	Motor Vehicle Accidents Forecast for 2020
Table 5A-23*	Motor Vehicle Accidents Forecast for 2030
Table 6-1	Arterial Network Costs
Table 6-2	Arterial Crossings of Major Rivers: Improvements and Cost Estimates
Table 6-3	Estimated Costs of Ultimate Concepts
Table 6-4	Estimated Freeway and Expressway Improvement Costs per Mile including Right-of-Way Acquisition
Table 6-5	Widenings Recommended on I-10

*The tables numbered 5A-# appear in Appendix V and provide the data for bar charts of the same number appearing in Chapter 5. For example, Table 5A-1 in Appendix V provides the data for Figure 5-1.

SWATS Final Report xi September 2003